# RESEARCH



# Delineation of an inverted tandem Xq23-26.3 duplication in a female featuring extremely short stature and mild mental deficiency



Shengfang Qin<sup>1\*†</sup>, Jiuzhi Zeng<sup>2,3†</sup>, Jin Wang<sup>1</sup>, Mengling Ye<sup>1</sup>, Qin Deng<sup>1</sup>, Xueyan Wang<sup>1</sup>, Zhuo Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Dangying Yi<sup>1</sup>, Yang Wu<sup>1</sup> and Jesse Li-Ling<sup>2,3\*</sup>

# Abstract

**Background** Partial duplications involving the long arm of the X chromosome are associated with mental retardation, short stature, microcephaly, and a wide range of physical findings. Female carriers usually have no clinical phenotype. Occasionally, they may also have heterogeneous features due to non-random inactivation of the X chromosome.

**Methods** The peripheral blood sample was collected from the patient and subjected to a few genetic testing, including chromosomal karyotyping, Chromosomal microarray analysis (CMA), Optical genome mapping, short tandem repeat (STR) analysis for Determination of parental origin, and X chromosome inactivation (XCI) analysis.

**Results** We have identified a de novo Xq23-Xq26.3 duplication in an adult female featuring extremely short stature and mild mental deficiency. Chromosome analysis detected a duplication on Xq23-q26.3 with a size of approximately 20 Mb. The duplication region has encompassed a number of genes, among which *ARHGEF6*, *PHF6*, *HPRT1* and *SLC9A6* are associated with X-linked mental retardation. Further analysis suggested that the duplication has derived from her father, was of the inversion duplication type and involved various degrees of skewed X chromosome inactivation.

**Conclusion** Correlation with her phenotypes might indicate new mechanisms by which the X chromosome may lead to short stature and mental retardation. Our findings thereby may shed more light on the phenotypic implication of functional disomy of X-chromosome genes.

Keywords Short stature, Intellectual disability, Xq23-q26.3 duplication

<sup>†</sup>Shengfang Qin and Jiuzhi Zeng contributed equally to this work.

\*Correspondence: Shengfang Qin qinshengfang@126.com Jesse Li-Ling Jililing@scu.edu.cn Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.gn/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.gn/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain and redit line to the data.

# Background

X chromosome accounts for approximately 5% of the human genome [1]. Compared with the autosomal chromosomes, genes underlying X-linked diseases are easier to identify because of their particular inheritance pattern. Generally speaking, female carriers usually have no clinical phenotype but may give birth to affected sons. Occasionally, they may also have mild features due to non-random inactivation of the X chromosome.

The X chromosome has been implicated in body height, intelligence, and fertility [2–5]. In addition to Turner syndrome (monosomy X), the *SHOX* gene mapped to the pseudo-autosomal region 1 (PAR1) at Xp22 has also been associated with body height. X-linked mental retardation (XLMR) is a group of highly heterogeneous disorders which affect 1.8/1000 males and 2.4/1000 females [6]. So far, over 100 XLMR genes have been identified [7], with the most common ones including MECP2 and FMR1 [8]. The associated mental retardation is divided into syndromic and nonsyndromic types, with the latter having additional skeletal malformation and reproductive anomalies.

We report on an ethnic Chinese adult female featuring extremely short stature, facial dysmorphism, infertility, and mild mental deficiency. With combined genetic methods, the duplication fragments are explored in detail. Our finding may shed light on the mechanisms underlying the determination of body height, intelligence, and reproductivity.

# Methods

# **Collection of specimen and DNA extraction**

With informed consent obtained, peripheral venous blood samples were taken from the patient and her parents with tubes containing heparin sodium and EDTA-Na<sub>2</sub> anticoagulants, respectively. Oral mucosal cells were collected from buccal smears, and urethral epithelial cells were isolated by centrifugation of the urine sample. By following the protocol provided by the manufacturer, genomic DNA was extracted from the specimen using the QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Germany). The DNA was qualified when the concentration was above 30 ng/uL, and the OD260/280 value was between 1.8 and 2.0, as determined with an ultraviolet spectrophotometer Nanodrop 1 C (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA).

# Chromosomal karyotyping

Lymphocytes and amniocytes were cultured, harvested, and prepared for microscope slides before Giemsa staining adequately describes classical G-banding of metaphases. A karyotype analysis system (Karl Zeiss, Germany) was adopted for chromosome count and karyotype analysis.

#### Chromosomal microarray analysis (CMA)

500–1000 ng of genomic DNA was used for the CMA assay with a SurePrint G3 CGH+SNP (180 K) microarray chip. Potential CNVs were detected with Agilent CytoGenomics software and some online databases. The pathogenicity was judged based on the standards and guidelines from the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics(ACMG) [9].

# **Optical genome mapping**

To delineate the chromosomal structural rearrangement, the DNA sample of the patient was further analyzed by whole-genome optical genomic mapping (OGM), an accurate and highly reproducible method for genomewide SV analysis and delineation of complex genomic rearrangements [10]. The ultra high molecular weight DNA from the patient's blood was isolated with the SP Blood and Cell Culture DNA Isolation Kit, and fluorescently labeled with the enzyme DLE-1 as per the manufacturer's directions. Labeled DNA was loaded on Saphyr chip and imaged on the Saphyr instrument, for collection of 1300 Gb of molecules > 150 kb. De novo genome assemblies and variant calling were performed via Bionano Access software (v1.4.3) using the Bionano Tools version 1.4.3. The kit, the instrument, and the analysis software were provided by Bionano Genomics.

# Determination of parental origin

Short tandem repetition (STR) analysis was performed by multiplex fluorescence quantitative PCR amplification with a chromosome aneuploidy detection kit (Darui Corp., China). The amplification condition was 95 °C for 15 min, 94 °C for 30 s, 58 °C for 1 min 30 s, 72 °C for 1 min 30 s, for 27 cycles, and 72 °C for 30 min. The product was subjected to capillary electrophoresis with an AB 3500Dx gene analyzer, and the data were analyzed with GeneMapper software. The fluorescence peaks of the patient were compared with those of her parents.

#### Analysis of X chromosome inactivation patterns

The DNA samples from the patient's peripheral blood cells, oral mucosal cells, and urethral epithelial cells were amplified by androgen receptor (*AR*) gene-specific primers and subjected to capillary electrophoresis. The samples proceeded to the same tests after being digested with HpaII, a methylation-sensitive restriction enzyme. The XCI ratio was calculated with the formula (d1/u1)/(d1/u1+d2/u2), and the skewed XCI was confirmed when the XCI ratio was >70% [11].

# Results

#### **Case presentation**

The patient, a 26-year-old female, has a height of 135.6 cm (<2SD) and a weight of 32.15 kg (<2SD). Physical examination revealed proportionate dwarfism. The patient was born at full term. Her parents had an average height. Her father was about 170 cm, and her mother was about 160 cm. The patient has had a poor appetite and slow eating since childhood. She was more irritable and bad-tempered, with poor muscle strength, learning difficulties (completed the nine-year compulsory education), and slightly better Chinese but poor math (non-verbal learning difficulties). Her height and weight had always lagged far behind her age, and this has aggreviated after

Page 3 of 13

age 7. She had menarche at around 15, with regular but reduced menstruation, and often had dysmenorrhea. She was married for two years but had not conceived without contraception. She had deformities such as small hands, tapered fingers, right fifth finger flexion, triangular face, slight hypertelorism, thin lips, and mild micrognathia (Fig. 1).

The patient had normal breast development. Ultrasonography of the abdomen revealed an anteverted uterus about  $4.5 \times 3.7 \times 3.9$  cm. The right uterine horn could be seen, but the left uterine horn was not shown, only a hypoechoic area about  $3.0 \times 1.0$  cm in the left accessory area connected to the left wall of the uterus. Iohexol hysterosalpingography further revealed a



Fig. 1 Clinical phenotypes of the patient the patient has tapering fingers, contracture of the distal joint of the right 5th finger, triangular face, and mild micrognathia

unilateral uterus and incomplete obstruction of the right fallopian tube. The patient's total score on the Hamilton Anxiety Scale was 5 (<7) and did not hint at anxiety. The total score on the Hamilton Depression Scale was 17 (between 8 and 20), which indicated mild depressive symptoms. Laboratory test of endocrinology revealed progesterone (PRGE) at 0.33 ng/ml [reference value (RV): follicular phase 0.31-1.52); Estradiol (E2) 43 pg/ ml (RV: 15.16-127.81 at the early follicular stage); Luteinizing hormone (hLH) 3.27 mIU/ml (RV: 2.12-10.89 in follicular phase); Follicle stimulating hormone (hFSH) 7.76 mIU/ml (RV: 3.85-8.78 at the follicular stage); Human chorionic gonadotropin (β-HCG) < 0.50 mIU/ ml (RV: <0.5-2.90); Anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH) 2.56 ng/ml (RV: 0.96-13.34); Prolactin (RPL) 250.47 µIU/ ml (RV: 71-566); Testosterone (TSTO) 25.55ng/dl (RV: 0-92). The patient's parents were healthy and with a normal karyotype. They have denied consanguinity and a family history of genetic diseases.

All procedures in this study conformed to the tenets of the Helsinki Declaration and were approved by the Sichuan Provincial Maternity and Child Health Care Hospital institutional review board. Informed consent was obtained from all participants or guardians before collecting clinical data, venous blood, oral mucosa, and urethral epithelial cell samples.

#### **Cytogenetic analysis**

G-banded chromosome analysis suggested that the patient has a karyotype of 46,X,?der(X)(q28) (Fig. 2). The duplication was found on the long arm of one of the X chromosomes.

# Chromosomal microarray analysis (CMA)

CMA analysis confirmed that the patient harbored a duplication of approximately 20.45 Mb at Xq23q26.3 (hg19 chrX: 114,622,584–135,068,006) (Fig. 3A). Based on the ACMG guidelines, the duplication fragment is predicted to be likely pathogenic. The duplication region encompassed 121 protein-coding genes, though none was known to be triplosensitive or disrupted by the breakpoints. No polymorphism is in the DGV database,



Fig. 2 Karyogram of the patient the patient has a karyotype of 46,X,?der(X)(q28) with an apparent duplication on the long arm of one of the X chromosomes (indicated by the arrow)



**Fig. 3** CMA and OGM results of the X chromosome **A** CMA result of the X chromosome. The patient has a 20.45 Mb duplication at Xq23-q26.3 (hg19 chrX: 114,622,584–135,068,006) (represented by the blue bar) containing 121 protein-coding genes. **B** OGM result of the patient's X chromosome. The resulting map of the X chromosome copy number showing a 19.9 Mb duplication (copy number = 3) at Xq23q26.3 (hg19 chrX: 114,624,594–134,525,997) (blue shaded area), which has encompassed 107 protein-coding genes). The repeat region at Xq23-q26.3 showed a pattern of reverse tandem repeats. Compared with the reference, the repeat sequence [B(–)]was in reverse tandem arrangement with the original sequence[B(+)]

and several similar cases have been recorded by the DECIPHER and ClinVar databases, with the manifestation of the patients including short stature, learning difficulties, mild to moderate mental retardation, and uterine anomalies. The karyotype was 46,XX,inv dup(X)(pter $\rightarrow$ q 26.3::q26.3 $\rightarrow$ q23::q26.3 $\rightarrow$ qter) (Fig. 4). Both of her parents had a normal karyotype.

### **Optical genome mapping**

Optical genome mapping analysis of the DNA sample from the patient suggested that the patient has harbored two X chromosomes, with one of which containing a reverse tandem duplication (spanning approximately 19.9 Mb) at Xq23-26.3(also termed as mirror image duplication or inverted duplication) (Fig. 3B).

Analysis of the OGM result suggested that the duplication region has encompassed 107 protein-encoding genes, among which *GPC3* (OMIM 300,037), *GRIA3* (OMIM 305,915), and *STAG2* (OMIM 300,826) are associated with various diseases. However, none of the genes was known to be triplosensitive. The duplication has not been recorded in the DGV database, while a 10 Mb duplication was found in the ClinGen database (nssv13651503), associated with short stature. In the Decipher database, two cases of duplications over 16 Mb were recorded (Patients 359,237, 363,883), with the clinical phenotypes including mental retardation, intrauterine growth retardation, finger anomalies, and broad forehead.

#### Parental origin of the abnormal X chromosome

The four STR loci (DXS1187 at Xq26.2, DXS8377 at Xq28, DXS6809 at Xq21.33, and DXS981 at Xq13.1) on the X chromosome were analyzed. The results showed that the patient's duplication region involved the DXS1187 (Xq26.2) locus compared with her parents. The ratio of the two fluorescence peaks of this locus was about 1:2, and the higher peak was derived from her father, as shown in Fig. 4.



Fig. 4 Analysis of STR loci on the X chromosomecompared with her parents, the patient's duplication locus, involved in the DXS1187 (Xq26.2), was derived from her father, and the ratio of the two fluorescent peaks in this locus was about 1:2

#### Patterns of X chromosome inactivation in the patient

Enzymatic digestion assays were proceeded with peripheral venous blood, buccal smear, and urine samples from the patient to determine the pattern of X chromosome inactivation(XCI). As shown in Fig. 5, compared with her father (whose sole X chromosome is activated) and mother (one of her X chromosome is randomly inactivated), the X chromosome inactivation ratio of the patient varied with the type of tissues: 100% for the blood, 78% for the oral mucosa, and 80% for the urethral epithelial cells, respectively. The comparison result of the STR loci suggested that, in all tissues, the inactivated X chromosome carried the duplicated fragment derived from her father.

# A hypothetical formation model for the inverted duplication of the paternal X chromosome

We postulate that the intrachromosomal LCRs are responsible for the inverted duplication of the X chromosome. In sperm meiosis II, the recombination of the sister chromosome results in inverted repeated sequences through the breakage and reunion of the paralogous at Xq23 and Xq26.3, as shown in Fig. 6.

# Summary of clinical phenotype in females with de novo duplications Xq23-q26

Xq23-Xq26.3 with an inverted duplication of this patient was detected by the OGM method. Compared to the duplication region with several other patients (Table 1), all share common clinical phenotypes such as growth retardation, developmental delay, and minor anomalies. However, our patient is an adult with extremely short height, and she is infertile with a unilateral horn. We have no further information on the adult height and fertility of the other three little girls in the table. Therefore, it is impossible to judge whether the inverted duplication is the cause of her extremely short stature and infertility.

# Discussion

The X chromosome is known to be involved in the determination of body stature and intelligence. Well-known examples have included Turner syndrome (45,X), Fragile X syndrome (Xq28), and individuals carrying mutations of the *SHOX* gene mapped to Xp22.1. Along with the applications of microarray chips, multiple ligationdependent probe amplification and next-generation sequencing, a number of microdeletions and microduplications in the chrX have been discovered. The altered dosage of the involved genes has facilitated their roles in the pathogenesis of related disorders. Among these, X-linked mental retardation (XLMR) or intellectual disability (ID) is a common, clinically complex, and genetically heterogeneous disease arising from various mutations on the X chromosome, which affects 1/1000 to 1/600 males and a substantial number of females [12].

Our patient has harbored a duplication at Xq23q26.3 (hg19 chrX: 114,622,584-135,068,006), and her clinical manifestations have included extremely short stature, mild mental retardation, and primary infertility. Her duplication fragment did not overlap with the SHOX or FMR1 gene nor involved the pseudoautosomal region at Xp22.33. By CMA and OGM analysis, the patient's duplication fragment spanned 20 Mb and over 100 protein-coding genes, among which 28 are in the OMIM database. Based on a database search, none of the genes is triplosensitive, and genes at the breakpoints are not known to have a haploinsufficiency effect. No polymorphisms of such genes are in the DGV database; DECIPHER and ClinVar databases have recorded multiple cases of similar pathogenic or possibly pathogenic (mainly duplications of small fragments). The main clinical manifestations of such patients have included short stature, mental retardation, mild to moderate mental retardation, and abnormal uterus. Based on the CNV interpretation guidelines of the ClinGen/ACMG in 2019, the duplication fragment of our patient was classified as likely pathogenic.

Genomic duplication may exert an effect of dose and position [13]. The former may cause phenotypic differences for the involvement of dosage-sensitive genes, while the latter may be attributed to genes disrupted by the chromosomal breakpoints. In our patient's duplicated region, although none of the genes is known to be triplesensitive, some of them, e.g., AGTR2, LAMP2, GRIA3, OCRL, GPC3, PHF6, and HPRT1, have been associated with XLMR. Among them, LAMP2, GRIA3, PHF6, and HPRT1 genes are associated with Danon disease (300,257), Intellectual deficiency, X-linked syndrome, Wu type (300,699), BFL syndrome (301,900), Lesch Nyhan syndrome (300,322) and other syndromes. In addition to mental retardation, such patients have short stature, deformity, and other clinical manifestations. In keeping with the previous reports, our patient height and weight are the lowest among the reported adults with Xq duplication.

The duplication in our patient did not involve the inactivation center of the X chromosome (XIST) at Xq13.2. Her skewed inactivation was found in different tissues, with the inactivation ratio for blood, oral mucosa, and urine being 100%, 78%, and 80%, respectively. Furthermore, the result of STR sites suggested the duplicated X chromosome derived from her father and inactivated, which is inconsistent with the patient's clinical phenotype. Although XCI in the patient's central nervous system cannot be detected, the ratio of XCI of the oral mucosa may be used to estimate the



**Fig. 5** Analysis of the patterns of X chromosome inactivation the size of the fluorescence peak for the internal reference gene *B2M* was about 296 bp, and those at other positions represented specific fluorescence peaks of the *AR* gene. His father's X chromosome was used as the control for complete digestion. The *AR* gene has a single one before digestion and disappears after digestion. Before and after digestion, the bimodal ratio of the *AR* gene on the X chromosome derived from the mother was about 50%, which suggested random inactivation. In the patient, the ratio valve of X inactivation differed with the type of tissues, e.g., 100%, 78%, and 80% for the blood, oral mucosa, and urethral epithelial cells, respectively. Compared with the STR loci of her parents, the duplicated X chromosome derived from her father was completely inactivated in the peripheral blood and partially in her oral mucosa and urethral epithelial cells. Undigested: before digestion, Digested: after digestion; WBC: white blood cells, OMC: oral epithelial cells, UEC: urethral epithelial cells



**Fig. 6** A hypothetical formation model for the inverted duplication of the paternal X chromosomeduring meiosis II of the paternal germ cell (**A**), LCR1' and LCR2' were mismatched because of the similar repeat sequences between the LCRs (**B**), resulting in unequal exchange and the production of inv-dup-type and del-type gametes (**C**), and the former is passed on to the patient

rate of X inactivation in brain tissue [14]. Therefore, a small sparing number of cells from skewed inactivation of the duplicated X chromosome of paternal origin may explain the patient's mild mental retardation. The abnormal phenotype of dup(X) females was unpredictable because of the different inactivation of abnormal dup(X) chromosomes. This phenotypic diversity is associated with some factors, such as functional dimers of the repeated X region, inter-individual differences in X inactivation patterns, tissue-dependent X activation patterns, and incomplete inactivation of repeated X chromosome segments [15]. Non-random XCI is common in individuals carrying deleterious gene mutations or unbalanced chromosomal rearrangements [16]. Cells harboring large deletions or duplications may be disadvantageous in their growth and survival and will gradually perish. Thus, unbalanced rearrangements on the X chromosome have a milder effect on the phenotype [17]. Occasionally, skewed transmission has been noted in a small proportion of females, but extreme skewing is rare (< 1% of all cases). The skewed inactivation may influence the ultimate phenotype, regardless of whether it is of a deletion or duplication type.

Other explanations for the inconsistency between the genotypes and phenotypes include gene disruption, positional effect, complex micro-rearrangement, and different patterns or accidental associations of X inactivation in various tissues [18]. In this study, skewed X inactivation has also existed in tissues derived from her father. To what extent these might have affected the patient's physical and mental development still await further study.

Due to their impact on survival, duplicate fragments of the X chromosome may be better tolerated by the affected individual than microdeletions. Unequal crossovers during meiosis or even mitosis can result in reciprocal microduplications and microdeletions. We have recently identified a fetus carrying a microdeletion in the Xq23 region. The fetus has a high risk for trisomy 21 in mid-gestational serological screening. An ultrasonographic scan revealed mild growth retardation, with a bi-parietal diameter and head circumstance measured at - 2.31 SD and - 2.64 SD, respectively. By amniocentesis, the fetus has a 46,X,del(X)(q23) karyotype. Further analysis with CMA and CNVseq confirmed that the fetus has a deletion spanning approximately 42.7 Mb (hg19 chrX: 99,057,340–141,763,856), which has involved 262

#### References **Duplicated region** Inheritance Clinical phenotype Methods This study Xq23-Xq26.3 (20 Mb), De novo A 26-year-old female with extremely Karyotype; short stature and mild mental inverted duplication Array comparative genomic hybridideficiency. She has proportionate sation; dwarfism with a height of 135.6 cm Short tandem repetition; (< 2SD) and a weight of 32.15 kg X-Inactivation Assay (< 2SD). The patient has had a poor Optical genome mapping appetite and slow eating since childhood. She was irritable and bad-tempered, hypotonic, and had learning difficulties. She had deformities such as small hands, tapered fingers, right fifth finger flexion, triangular face, slight hypertelorism, thin lips, and mild micrognathia. Ultrasonography of the abdomen revealed a unilateral horn with a residual left uterine horn. She had regular but reduced menstruation. She was married for two years but had not conceived without contraception. Garcia-Heras et al. [27] A 3-year-old girl with growth Karyotype, Xq23-q26 De novo retardation, developmental delay, FISH. and minor anomalies(Increased gap X-Inactivation Assay between toes 1 and 2 with 2-3 partial syndactyly. Abducted and hypoplastic thumb.). A 7-year-old girl with microcephaly. Armstrong et al. [28] Xq22.3-q26 De novo Karyotype, Her height is at the 10th percentile, X-inactivation assay and her hands and feet are strikingly small. She is hypotonic and delayed. Asymmetries of muscle strength and leg and foot length have been notable. She has mild unilateral ptosis. She has some features of Turner syndrome and multiple other minor anomalies. The pregnancy was complicated by intrauterine growth retardation, and she was distressed during labor. During her first year, she fed poorly and failed to thrive. Deirdre et al. [29] Xq22.3-Xq26.1 De novo A 3-year-old girl presented at 11 Karyotype, (22.9 Mb) months of age with moderate Array comparative genomic hybrididevelopmental delay and slow sation growth. Her birth weight was 2.02 kg when elective Caesarean section at 38 weeks gestation. Postnatal weight gain was slow. Development is moderately delayed; gross motor skills are delayed. Her fine motor function is delayed. She has generalized hypotonia but was not a focal neurological deficit. She is mildly dysmorphic, with an elongated, oval face, upslanting palpebral fissures, almond-shaped eyes, epicanthic folds, and broad nasal tip. Her speech is delayed, but she is a sociable little girl.

### Table 1 Summary of clinical phenotype in females with de novo duplications Xq23-q26

References	Duplicated region	Inheritance	Clinical phenotype	Methods
Decipher patient: 363,883 (https://www.deciphergenom- ics.org/)	Xq24-Xq26.2(15.59 Mb)	Unknown	Age at last clinical assessment: less than one year. Chromosomal sex: 46,XX. Abnormal 3rd finger morphology, bilateral talipes equino- varus, broad forehead, hypertelorism, intrauterine growth retardation, single transverse palmar crease.	Unknown

protein-coding genes, including 24 with a haploinsufficiency effect. No polymorphism was in the DGV database. DECIPHER and ClinVar databases had several case records featuring intrauterine growth retardation, short stature, intellectual deficiency, hearing impairment, feeding difficulty, lordosis, hypothyroidism, diabetes, and decreased muscle tone. Among the involved genes, *TIMM8A*, *PLP*, *PRPS1*, *DCX*, and *SOX3* are associated with syndromic XLMR [12]. *SOX3* is associated with hypopituitarism and growth hormone deficiency dwarfism [19, 20]. *ACSL4* (*FACL4*) and *PAK3* are associated with X-linked mental deficiency types 63 and 30 [21, 22]. Although *SIZN1*, *NXF5*, and *ARHGEF6* are non-morbid, they are also associated with XLMR [23–25].

Optical genome mapping can identify cryptic chromosomal structural variations while not by conventional methods. Therefore, it is a powerful tool for chromosomal structural aberrations, especially for complex rearrangements [26]. In this study, the OGM has detected a 19.9 Mb inverted duplication in the Xq23-q26.3 (hg19 chrX: 114,622,584–135,068,006) region, which is extremely rare. There have been a few reports of inversion duplication in autosomes, but those occurring on the X chromosome have been scarce. Our case may be explained with the triple-strand rearrangement theory proposed by Van Dyke for the formation and passage of a reverse duplication of the Xq26.3-q23 region from a father to his female offspring [13].

Probably involving the particular pathogenetic mechanisms, only several interstitial Xq deletions and duplications of large segments have been reported. Compared with previously reported females with interstitial Xq duplications of similar size [27–29] (Table 1), all share common clinical phenotypes such as intrauterine growth restriction, low birth weight, postnatal growth retardation, short stature, low intelligence, and minor anomalies. However, in contrast with three previously described females with tandem duplication, our patient had inverted duplication. She was an adult with extremely short height and infertile with a unilateral horn. We have no information on the adult height and fertility of the other three little girls. Therefore, it is impossible to judge whether the inverted duplication is the cause of her extremely short stature and infertility.

An unequal crossover of low copy repeats (LCRs) sequences is a common mechanism of microduplication and microdeletion. Similar to interstitial deletions, such duplications may also arise by unequal inversion crossing between homologous sequences during meiosis phase I or sister chromatids during meiosis II, during which the inverted insertion may translocate from one DNA strand to its complementary strand, resulting in the transfer of the inverted chromosome segment to its homologs [13, 30–33]. In addition, the LCRs are found near the breakpoints at Xp23 (hg19 chrX: 114,624,594) and Xq26.3(hg19 chrX: 134,525,997). The highly homologous sequences in such LCRs can predispose to nonallelic homologous recombination (NAHR), resulting in instability of the local region [34, 35]. In addition, the LCRs are found near the breakpoints at Xp23 (hg19 chrX: 114,624,594) and Xq26.3(hg19 chrX: 134,525,997). The highly homologous sequences in such LCRs can predispose to non-allelic homologous recombination (NAHR), resulting in instability of the local region [36, 37]. We assume that intrachromosomal recombination during paternal meiosis II between paralogous sequences at Xq23 and Xq26.3 resulted in a fertilizing rea(X) spermatozoid. Most of these rea(X) are of paternal origin. The cause may be the X chromosome in male meiosis being free to refold into itself besides the X and the Y chromosomes pair at the Xp-Yp pseudoautosomal region [38]. We assume that intrachromosomal recombination during paternal meiosis II between paralogous sequences at Xq23 and Xq26.3 resulted in a fertilizing rea(X) spermatozoid. Most of these rea(X) are of paternal origin. The cause may be the X chromosome in male meiosis being free to refold into itself besides the X and the Y chromosomes pair at the Xp-Yp pseudoautosomal region [39]. We have delineated an inverted duplication on the X chromosome in a female featuring extremely short stature, mental deficiency, and uterine anomalies. After evaluating her ovarian and uterine conditions, the patient was recommended for pre-implantation genetic testing for structural rearrangement. Our result has shed light

on the pathogenesis of short stature and mental deficiency associated with the X chromosome.

In this study, we have identified a *de novo* Xq23-Xq26.3 duplication with a size of approximately 20 Mb in an adult female featuring extremely short stature and mild mental deficiency. The duplication was derived from her father, was of the inversion duplication type, and involved various degrees of skewed X chromosome inactivation. The duplication region has encompassed a number of genes, among which *ARHGEF6*, *PHF6*, *HPRT1*, and *SLC9A6* are associated with X-linked mental retardation. Correlation with her phenotypes might indicate new mechanisms by which the X chromosome may lead to short stature and mental retardation. Our findings thereby may shed more light on the phenotypic implication of functional disomy of X-chromosome genes.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors thank the patient and her family for their agreement to use their clinical data in this study. The authors are grateful to the Cytogenetics Group of the Department of Medical Genetics and Prenatal Diagnosis for the karyo-type analysis and Sixiang Chen for the CMA analysis.

#### Author contributions

SQ and JL conceived and designed the work and wrote the manuscript. YW enrolled the patients. JZ contributed to clinical examination and clinical data analysis, XW provided genetic counseling. JW analyzed the variation. MY, QD, ZZ, DY performed the laboratory detection and experimental data acquisition. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

# Funding

Not applicable.

#### Data availability

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

#### Declarations

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study is retrospective and did not require the ethical approval.

#### **Consent for publication**

The patient had provided his consent for publication.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medical Genetics and Prenatal Diagnosis, Sichuan Provincial Maternity and Child Health Care Hospital, Chengdu 610045, Sichuan, China. <sup>2</sup>West China Second University Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610041, Sichuan, China. <sup>3</sup>Department of Reproductive Medicine, Sichuan Provincial Maternity and Child Health Care Hospital, Chengdu 610045, Sichuan, China.

#### Received: 28 September 2023 Accepted: 6 November 2023 Published online: 29 November 2023

#### References

1. Balaton BP, Dixon-McDougall T, Peeters SB, et al. The eXceptional nature of the X chromosome. Hum Mol Genet. 2018;27:R242–9.

- Cabezas DA, Slaugh R, Abidi F, et al. A new X linked mental retardation (XLMR) syndrome with short stature, small testes, muscle wasting, and tremor localises to Xq24-q25. J Med Genet. 2000;37:663–8.
- Shashi V, Berry MN, Shoaf S, et al. A unique form of mental retardation with a distinctive phenotype maps to Xq26-q27. Am J Hum Genet. 2000;66:469–79.
- Cilliers DD, Parveen R, Clayton P, et al. A new X-linked mental retardation (XLMR) syndrome with late-onset primary testicular failure, short stature and microcephaly maps to Xq25-q26. Eur J Med Genet. 2007;50:216–23.
- 5. Sanlaville D, Schluth-Bolard C, Turleau C. Distal xq duplication and functional xq disomy. Orphanet J Rare Dis. 2009;4:4.
- Ropers HH, Hamel BCJ. X-linked mental retardation. Nat Rev Genet. 2005;6:46–57.
- Stevenson RE, Schwartz CE. Clinical and molecular contributions to the understanding of X-linked mental retardation. Cytogenet Genome Res. 2002;99:265–75.
- Frints SG, Froyen G, Marynen P, et al. X-linked mental retardation: vanishing boundaries between non-specific (MRX) and syndromic (MRXS) forms. Clin Genet. 2002;62:423–32.
- Erin RR, Erica FA, Athena MC, et al. Technical standards for the interpretation and reporting of constitutional copy-number variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG) and the Clinical Genome Resource (ClinGen). Genet Med. 2020;22(2):245–57.
- 10. Romain N, Karine SP, Marlène R, et al. 16p13.11p11.2 triplication syndrome: a new recognizable genomic disorder characterized by optical genome mapping and whole genome sequencing. Eur J Hum Genet. 2022;30(6):712–20.
- Qin S, Wang X, Wang J. Identification of an SRY-negative 46,XX infertility male with a heterozygous deletion downstream of SOX3 gene. Mol Cytogenet. 2022;15:2.
- Gécz J, Shoubridge C, Corbett M. The genetic landscape of intellectual disability arising from chromosome X. Trends Genet. 2009;25:308–16.
- Van Dyke DL, Miller MJ, Weiss L. The origin of inverted tandem duplications, and phenotypic effects of tandem duplication of the X chromosome long arm. Am J Med Genet. 1983;15:441–50.
- Bittel DC, Theodoro MF, Kibiryeva N, et al. Comparison of X-chromosome inactivation patterns in multiple tissues from human females. J Med Genet. 2008;45:309–13.
- 15. Parissone F, Pucci M, Meneghelli E, et al. A novel de novo partial xq duplication in a girl with short stature, nonverbal learning disability and diminished ovarian reserve - effect of growth hormone treatment and fertility preservation strategies: a case report and up-to-date review. Int J Pediatr Endocrinol. 2020;2020:1.
- Leppig KA, Disteche CM. Ring X and other structural X chromosome abnormalities: X inactivation and phenotype. Semin Reprod Med. 2001;19:147–57.
- Orstavik KH. X chromosome inactivation in clinical practice. Hum Genet. 2009;126:363–73.
- Tukiainen T, Villani AC, Yen A, et al. Landscape of X chromosome inactivation across human tissues. Nature. 2017;550:244–8.
- Solomon NM, Ross SA, Morgan T, et al. Array comparative genomic hybridisation analysis of boys with X linked hypopituitarism identifies a 3.9 mb duplicated critical region at Xq27 containing SOX3. J Med Genet. 2004;41:669–78.
- Bauters M, Frints SG, Van Esch H, et al. Evidence for increased SOX3 dosage as a risk factor for X-linked hypopituitarism and neural tube defects. Am J Med Genet A. 2014;164A:1947–52.
- Allen KM, Gleeson JG, Bagrodia S, et al. PAK3 mutation in nonsyndromic X-linked mental retardation. Nat Genet. 1998;20:25–30.
- Yonath H, Marek-Yagel D, Resnik-Wolf H, et al. X inactivation testing for identifying a non-syndromic X-linked mental retardation gene. J Appl Genet. 2011;52:437–41.
- 23. Jun L, Frints S, Duhamel H, et al. NXF5, a novel member of the nuclear RNA export factor family, is lost in a male patient with a syndromic form of mental retardation. Curr Biol. 2001;11:1381–91.
- Lower KM, Gecz J. Characterization of ARHGEF6, a guanine nucleotide exchange factor for rho GTPases and a candidate gene for X-linked mental retardation: mutation screening in Börjeson-Forssman-Lehmann syndrome and MRX27. Am J Med Genet. 2001;100:43–8.

- 25. Cho G, Bhat SS, Gao J, et al. Evidence that SIZN1 is a candidate X-linked mental retardation gene. Am J Med Genet A. 2008;146A:2644–50.
- Fadaie Z, Neveling K, Mantere T, et al. Long-read technologies identify a hidden inverted duplication in a family with choroideremia. HGG Adv. 2021;2:100046.
- Garcia-Heras J, Martin JA, Day DW, et al. De novo duplication Xq23– >Xq26 of paternal origin in a girl with a mildly affected phenotype. Am J Med Genet. 1997;70:404–8.
- Armstrong L, McGowan-Jordan J, Brierley K, et al. De novo dup(X) (q22.3q26) in a girl with evidence that functional disomy of X material is the cause of her abnormal phenotype. Am J Med Genet A. 2003;116A:71–6.
- Donnelly DE, Jones J, McNerlan SE, et al. Growth retardation, developmental delay and dysmorphic features in a girl with a partial duplication of Xq. Clin Dysmorphol. 2011;20:82–5.
- Chiyo H, Furuyama J, Suehara N, et al. Possible intrachromosomal duplication in a case of trisomy 9p. Hum Genet. 1976;34:217–21.
- Taylor KM, Francke U, Brown MG, et al. Inverted tandem (mirror) duplications in human chromosomes: -nv dup 8p, 4q, 22q. Am J Med Genet. 1977;1:3–19.
- Mitchell JJ, Vekemans M, Luscombe S, et al. U-type exchange in a paracentric inversion as a possible mechanism of origin of an inverted tandem duplication of chromosome 8. Am J Med Genet. 1994;49:384–7.
- Hoo JJ, Chao M, Szego K, et al. Four new cases of inverted terminal duplication: a modified hypothesis of mechanism of origin. Am J Med Genet. 1995;58:299–304.
- Lupski JR. Genomic disorders: structural features of the genome can lead to DNA rearrangements and human Disease traits. Trends Genet. 1998;14:417–22.
- 35. Stankiewicz P, Lupski JR. Genome architecture, rearrangements and genomic disorders. Trends Genet. 2002;18:74–82.
- Liu P, Carvalho CM, Hastings PJ, et al. Mechanisms for recurrent and complex human genomic rearrangements. Curr Opin Genet Dev. 2012;22:211–20.
- Vissers LE, Stankiewicz P. Microdeletion and microduplication syndromes. Methods Mol Biol. 2012;838:29–75.
- Giglio S, Pirola B, Arrigo G, et al. Opposite deletions/duplications of the X chromosome: two novel reciprocal rearrangements. Eur J Hum Genet. 2000;8:63–70.
- Rossiter JP, Young M, Kimberland ML, et al. Factor VIII gene inversions causing severe hemophilia A originate almost exclusively in male germ cells. Hum Mol Genet. 1994;3:1035–9.

### **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

#### Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

#### At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

